## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,214	04/18/2001	Peter T. Dinsmore	NAI1P089/00.175.01	6427
<sup>28875</sup> Zilka-Kotab, P	7590 12/20/2006		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 721120			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2131	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	09/836,214	DINSMORE ET AL.	<u>L </u>
	Christian Laforgia	2131	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appe	eal Brief Request for Review filed Nov. 20, 2006.
1. Improper Request – The reason(s):	e Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not i	nas not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request.  nclude reasons why a review is appropriate.  nt is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	conse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains is required to submit an appea brief will be reset to be one morunning from the receipt of the	Itent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant I brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal onth from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the or 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date licable.
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	nined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  -15, 17-21, 28-30 and 38-41.  consideration:
	A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office er action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Christian Laforgia.	(3) <u>Eddie C. Lee</u> .

(4)\_\_\_

(2) Nasser Moazzami.